



King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1016/j.jpsychires.2018.11.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2018.11.023)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Palmer, L., Thandi, G., Norton, S., Jones, M., Fear, N. T., Wessely, S., & Rona, R. J. (2018). Fourteen-year trajectories of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms in UK military personnel, and associated risk factors. *Journal of psychiatric research*, 109, 156-163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2018.11.023>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Accepted Manuscript

Fourteen-year trajectories of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms in UK military personnel, and associated risk factors

Laura Palmer, Gursimran Thandi, Sam Norton, Margaret Jones, Nicola T. Fear, Simon Wessely, Roberto J. Rona



PII: S0022-3956(18)30951-8

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2018.11.023>

Reference: PIAT 3516

To appear in: *Journal of Psychiatric Research*

Received Date: 8 August 2018

Revised Date: 26 November 2018

Accepted Date: 29 November 2018

Please cite this article as: Palmer L, Thandi G, Norton S, Jones M, Fear NT, Wessely S, Rona RJ, Fourteen-year trajectories of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms in UK military personnel, and associated risk factors, *Journal of Psychiatric Research* (2018), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2018.11.023>.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Fourteen-year trajectories of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) symptoms in UK military personnel, and associated risk factors

Laura Palmer^{a+}, Gursimran Thandi^{a+}, Sam Norton^b, Margaret Jones^a, Nicola T Fear^c,
Simon Wessely^a, Roberto J Rona^{a*}

⁺ Joint first authors

^a King's Centre for Military Health Research, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London UK

^b Health Psychology Section, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London UK

^c Academic Department of Military Mental Health, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London UK

* Corresponding Author: Professor Roberto J Rona, King's Centre for Military Health Research, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, Weston Education Centre, 10 Cutcombe Rd, London SE5 9RJ, UK. Email: Roberto.rona@kcl.ac.uk

Abstract

The aim of this study was to examine the trajectories of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms over a 14 years period and the risk factors associated with each trajectory. 1885 UK military personnel provided information at four time points since 2002. The PTSD Check List-Civilian (PCL-C) was used in all time points. Growth mixture models (GMM) were estimated to examine whether individuals could be clustered into discrete groups with similar trajectories. Multinomial logistic regressions were carried out to investigate factors associated with class membership. The three-class GMM was the most parsimonious solution. This included 90.2% in the resilient class, 4.1% in the improving class and 5.7% in the deteriorating class trajectories. Both the deteriorating and improving classes were associated with childhood adversity (odds ratios (OR) 3.9 (95% CI 2.3, 6.7) and 3.3 (95% CI 2.1, 5.0) respectively) and antisocial behavior (OR 2.8 (95% CI 1.9, 4.2) and 3.7 (95% CI 2.4, 5.8) respectively), alcohol misuse (OR 3.5 (95% CI 2.4, 5.1) and 3.3 (2.1, 5.2) respectively) and longer time since leaving Service in comparison to the resilient group. Those in the youngest group and those in a combat role (OR 0.32, 95% CI 0.19, 0.54) were more likely to belong to the deteriorating trajectory. 10% of the cohort had symptoms of PTSD, of those up to half were symptomatic for most of the follow up period. Those whose score improved did not reach the low scores of the resilient group. Younger age and a combat role were associated with worse prognosis of PTSD.

Key words: Longitudinal study; Mental disorder; Military health; PTSD prognosis

1. Introduction

The many deployments carried out by UK Armed Forces (AF) over recent times have raised concerns about their mental health consequences, especially for posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Most recent UK operations have been related to Iraq and Afghanistan. Numerous studies of different coalition partners have described the burden of mental health disorders such as PTSD, but there have been far fewer longitudinal evaluations. (Fear et al., 2010; Hoge et al., 2004; Hotopf et al., 2006; Rona et al., 2009a; Smith et al., 2008) Prospective studies based on two assessments have demonstrated that only half of those with PTSD at an initial assessment continued to have symptoms of PTSD at follow up (Armenta et al., 2018; Rona et al., 2009a) and that some of those without PTSD at baseline have it at follow up. (Goodwin et al., 2012) These studies show that many people with PTSD at the first evaluation improve over time, but others show an opposite evolution. However, the picture is unclear, as are the associations of improvement and deterioration.

One way of addressing this is to use latent growth mixture modelling (LGMM) techniques. (Bonanno et al., 2012) Several studies that assessed military personnel on multiple occasions have reported variability in the evolution of PTSD, (Andersen et al., 2014; Bonanno et al., 2012; Eekhout et al., 2016; Polusny et al., 2017) but most of these studies ran for a maximum of five years and did not study risk factors related to the trajectories, with the exception of the Millennium Cohort study. (Bonanno et al., 2012; Donoho et al., 2017; Porter et al., 2017). The number and shape of PTSD trajectories found in military samples differ. Symptom fluctuations in Danish personnel deployed to Afghanistan were described using six variants of resilient, increasing and decreasing trajectories across pre-, peri- and post-deployment time points (Andersen et al., 2014; Berntsen, Dorthe et al., 2012). Two studies showed three trajectories, a resilient trajectory, a deteriorating trajectory and a chronic trajectory (Eekhout et al., 2016; Polusny et al., 2017). US studies drawing on data from the Millennium Cohort, a

prospective health study of US active duty and reserve forces, consistently identify four trajectories of resilient, increasing, decreasing and high symptoms (Bonanno et al., 2012; Donoho et al., 2017; Porter et al., 2017). Differences in symptom levels and rate of change are most evident in high symptom classes which remain stable or show a deterioration and a subsequent improvement among US personnel deployed to Bosnia (Dickstein et al., 2010). Growth mixture models have not been conducted to estimate PTSD trajectories in UK AF samples before. This study therefore adopts an exploratory approach however it is expected that most of the sample will be assigned to a no/low class and that multiple classes will be needed to model longitudinal change in this sample.

The current study commenced in 2002, before the start of the war in Iraq. (Rona et al., 2004) Personnel were then followed-up on three further occasions up to 2016. The aims of this study were to investigate trajectories of PTSD symptoms between 2002 and 2016 and to assess the risk factors associated with the trajectories.

2. Methods

2.1. The sample

Data were collected from a representative sample of the UK regular military in 2002 and the initial part of 2003 prior to the Iraq conflict. The sample of service personnel was drawn from units of the Royal Navy, Army, and Royal Air Force (RAF) according to the strengths in July 2001 and latterly included ex-serving personnel who were in the original sample. Units were randomly selected, and 45 individuals were randomly selected from each unit. Participants in the baseline sample (time 1) were followed up between 2004 and 2006 (time 2), between 2007 and 2009 (time 3), and between 2014 and 2016 (time 4) (Figure 1). 1,888 participants responded at times 1 and 2. (Rona et al., 2009a) In time 1 the total sample was randomised to a short questionnaire and a full questionnaire to assess the impact of length of questionnaire

on response rate. In the short questionnaire, some items from the measures of mental disorders were omitted from the questionnaire and alcohol consumption was not assessed. Older, female and higher ranking personnel were more likely to complete the questionnaires, as has been shown in every study of armed forces personnel. (Hotopf et al., 2006; Rona et al., 2010) We investigated preferential participation bias related to mental disorders comparing the baseline (time 1) characteristics of responders and non-responders to our study at time 2. Altogether 1885 of baseline individuals were available in our study and 988 were lost. Our analysis demonstrated that there was no significant difference between responders and non-responders for PTSD using 14 or 17 items ($p=0.10$ and $p=0.58$ respectively), for the General Health Questionnaire - 4 items (GHQ-4) or GHQ-12 ($p=0.88$ and $p=0.80$ respectively) and alcohol misuse ($p=0.08$). We repeated the analysis comparing baseline characteristics of responders and non-responders at other time assessments, but the results did not change because the larger number of non-responders occurred between times 1 and 2.

The study received approval from the Ministry of Defence Research Ethics Committee (MODREC) and for subsequent surveys from King's College Hospital local research ethics committee.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Independent variables

At time 1, participants provided information about their sex, age, rank, and Service. Data on education were categorised as reaching Ordinary Levels (O Levels)/General Certificate of Secondary Education (GCSE) or below, and Advance Levels (A Levels) or above. At time 2, information was collected on marital status, the participant's role in the parent unit stratified into "combat role" or "support role" (role in parent unit is highly associated with role during

deployment and applies to everybody). Ex-serving or currently serving personnel were assessed by time since separation from service as provided by the Defence Statistics and divided into six categories. Latest deployment was used as reported by each member of the cohort: no deployment recorded; last deployed up to 3 years before time 1; last deployed between times 1 and 2; last deployed between times 2 and 3, and last deployed between times 3 and 4. Time since last deployment was calculated from date of last deployment to time of questionnaire completion.

Two measures of childhood adversity were collected at time 2.(Iversen et al., 2007) (Felitti et al., 1998) The first assessed family relationship adversity and included 8 items (e.g. “I used to be hit/hurt by a parent or caregiver regularly”), which were summed and analysed as 0, 1 and 2+ adversities. The second measure assessed childhood antisocial behaviour and was scored positively if participants answered “true” to “I used to get into physical fights at school” plus one of the following; “I often used to play truant at school”, “I was suspended or expelled from school” or “I did things that should have got me (or did get me) into trouble with the police”.(Macmanus et al., 2012)

The GHQ-12 was used to record symptoms of psychological distress related to anxiety and depression. (Goldberg et al., 1997) Each of the symptoms was rated on a four-point scale. For this study the bi-modal scoring method of 0-0-1-1 was used. A cut-off of 4 or more was used to identify probable psychological distress (range zero to 12). In time 1, only those receiving a full questionnaire completed the GHQ-12, those receiving the short questionnaire completed the GHQ-4. We used the recommended cut-off of two for the GHQ-4 (Jacobsen et al., 1995). The GHQ-12 and, when appropriate, the GHQ-4 were used in the results section. Alcohol use was measured using the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT), a 10-item questionnaire which assesses alcohol consumption, alcohol dependence and the

consequences of alcohol abuse in the last 12 months. The AUDIT gives a total score that ranges between 0 and 40 with scores of 16 or more defined as “high level of alcohol problems”.(Babor et al., 2001) We used a score of 16 rather than a score of eight (hazardous drinking) because the prevalence of hazardous drinking was very high in the UK military (67% for men and 49% for women).(Fear et al., 2007) Functional impairment was assessed using an item from the Short-Form 36: “to what extent has the physical health or any emotional problems interfered with normal social activities with family, friends, neighbours or groups” and stratified into the groups who were impaired “quite a bit” or “extremely” and not impaired “not at all”, “slightly” or “moderate”. (Ware et al., 1993) We have shown that this single item is as meaningful as using several items to assess functional impairment in previous studies.(Rona et al., 2010; Rona et al., 2009b)

2.2.2. Outcome variable

Symptoms of PTSD were assessed by the National Center for PTSD Checklist – Civilian version (PCL-C);(Weathers et al., 1993) a 17-item questionnaire assessing five re-experiencing, seven avoidance and five hyperarousal symptoms, score range 17-85. (Blanchard et al., 1996) At time 1, participants completed either a full or a short PCL-C questionnaire with 949 (50%) completing the full questionnaire and 939 (50%) completing the abridged questionnaire. To shorten the questionnaire, the principal investigators removed three items (trouble remembering important parts of a stressful experience, feeling as if your future will somehow cut short and having difficulty concentrating). Single-value imputation, using the median of the rest of the items was estimated for each of the dimensions where items were omitted by design (avoidance and hyperarousal) to account for the three missing PCL-C items in those completing the short questionnaire.

22.2.3. Statistical analysis

Latent growth mixture modelling (GMM) was conducted in Mplus 7.4 to identify classes sharing similar trajectories of PTSD symptoms within the sample. This approach estimates a mixed-effects longitudinal model incorporating a random intercept and time slope (growth factors) that clusters the growth factors into a discrete number of trajectories. (Jung and Wickrama, 2008)

Due to the positive skew of PCL scores, with many scorings at the minimum possible value, all models assumed a censored-normal distribution for the outcome variable so not to violate the within-class normality assumption. Missing outcome data over time was handled by full information maximum likelihood estimation (FIML) which utilises all available data under the assumption that missing data are missing at random (MAR). (Rubin, 2009)

A series of unconditional models were fitted (see supplementary materials). The best class solution was chosen from the GMMs models where the variances on the intercept were freed (first intercept, then linear time slope, and finally quadratic time slope). Due to issues of non-convergence, the final models allowed the free estimation of the intercepts and not the linear and quadratic time slope parameters. As such, in the specification of the model, rather than as a hypothesis, the rate of change was permitted to vary across the classes, but not within each class.

Models with one through six latent classes were considered to determine the optimal number of classes. Relative model fit was assessed using Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC), sample size adjusted BIC (SABIC), entropy and the Lo–Mendell–Rubins Likelihood Ratio Test (LMR-LRT). The AIC, BIC and SABIC indices perform by balancing model complexity with goodness of fit. Entropy was used to evaluate

the precision of individuals' classification with higher entropy reflecting more accurate assignment (maximum of 1). While entropy is not to be used explicitly for class enumeration, (Ramaswamy et al., 1993) values were assessed to examine whether homogeneous clusters of individuals were obtained. This was supported by observing average posterior class probabilities which exceeded 0.70 in each class. (Nagin, 2005) The LMR-LRT compares the improvement of fit provided by a model with k classes to one with $k-1$ classes. In addition to formal statistical criteria, interpretability and theoretical justification were used to identify the most parsimonious solution.

Adjusted multinomial regression models were fitted in Mplus 8.0 where analyses are adjusted for the classification error of belonging to trajectory classes, in line with the recommended three-step approach. (Asparouhov and Muthen, 2013) Associations are described in Table 4.

3. Results

Those completing the questionnaire at times 1 and 2 were contacted at times 3 and 4. 76.7% and 54.3% of them completed the questionnaire at times 3 and 4 respectively (Figure 1). The age group 30 to 34 years was the largest (28%) at time 1, most participants were male (92%), and served in the Army (48%). 84% of the sample was married or in a long-term relationship, 13% reported childhood antisocial behavior and 36% endorsed at least two family adversity items. 86% had a support role in the parent unit.

3.1. Trajectory analysis

A series of unconditional models without covariates were fitted beginning with a latent class growth analysis (LCGA), where the variance of the growth factors was fixed to

zero. This assumes that variability in the initial level and rate of change in PTSD was completely determined by the class and did not vary across individuals within a specific class. Models were then re-fitted with a quadratic term for time to assess whether non-linear latent growth curves provided a better fit to the data. Non-linear curves demonstrated superior fit when comparing linear and non-linear curves to the observed means. Finally, GMMs were conducted where the variances on the intercept were freed. The three class GMM allowing for a quadratic time trend provided the optimal fit to the data (Figure 2 and Table 2). Across the model types, a notable reduction of BIC and SABIC was observed between the one, two to the three classes, but this plateaued when introducing a fourth class. The selection of the three-class model was supported by a significant LMR-LRT value ($p = 0.0073$) and a non-significant value for the four-class model ($p = 0.0893$). Classification was deemed accurate with high entropy values and average posterior probabilities exceeding 0.70.

In the three-class model (Figure 2), most individuals belonged to a ‘resilient’ class (90.2%) following a low and stable trajectory (Mean intercept = 19.5, $SE = 0.2$). A second ‘deteriorating’ class, with a membership of 5.7%, demonstrated a marked increase of symptoms with the mean intercept of 30.6 ($SE = 1.6$) reaching the usual clinical cut-off for PTSD (a score of 50). A third class, comprising 4.1%, started with a mean PCL intercept of 51.2 ($SE = 2.6$) and showed substantial improvement from times 2 to 4.

The four-class solution was considered carefully during the model selection, but the three-class model was considered the most parsimonious and statistically supported solution (Table 2 and Figure 1 in supplementary material).

3.2. Validation of the trajectories

We carried out a pragmatic assessment of the suitability of the three trajectories by looking at the PCL scores, GHQ-12 caseness and functional impairment prevalence at times 1 to 4. The mean PCL-scores observed at each time point were consistent with the three trajectories. The resilient to PTSD symptoms trajectory had a consistent PCL score in the low twenties, the deteriorating trajectory had a PCL score near 32 at time 1 which changed to a mean between 43 to 48 from times 2 to 4, and the improving trajectory decreased from a score of 53 at time 1 to a score around 33 between times 2 and 4 (Table 3). The pattern of GHQ-12 and functional impairment in terms of prevalence rates were synchronic with the PCL scores at each time (Table 3). GHQ-12 at time 1 was available for half the sample, but prevalence for GHQ-4 available for all participants showed similar results.

3.3. Associations of the trajectories in relation to covariates

We carried out multinomial logistic regression analysis to assess the associations for each covariate with the trajectory classes using the resilient group as a reference group, adjusting for age, sex and rank as assessed at time 1 (Table 4). In comparison to the resilient group, the deteriorating group was more likely to be in the youngest group (20 to 25 years at time 1) and in the non-officer group, to have left the services 9 years or more ago, to have a childhood adversity score of 2 or over and to report childhood antisocial behavior, to misuse alcohol and less likely to belong to the RAF or Navy and to have a support role. When adjusted for time since leaving the services, the deteriorating group were more likely to have last deployed at time 1 (corresponding to Kosovo, Bosnia, Sierra Leona and Northern Ireland) or between times 2 and 3 (corresponding to Iraq and/or Afghanistan between 2005 until 2009).

In comparison to the resilient group, those in the improving trajectory were more likely to be in the non-officer group, to have left the Service 12 years or more ago, were likely to have a childhood adversity score of 2 or more, to have reported childhood social behavior and to misuse alcohol.

The mean length of time since the resilient group left Service was 6.5 (SD 3.5) years, while the mean length time for the deteriorating and the improving groups was longer (8 years (SD 3.6) and 8 years (SD 3.7) respectively), probably because resilient personnel tend to remain in the forces.

We carried out a multinomial analysis in STATA 14.0 to directly compare the improving and deteriorating classes. The only meaningful association was that those in a combat role were more likely to belong to the deteriorating group (29% against 10%). The other covariates did not differ, except for age which showed unspecific heterogeneity between the two groups.

4. Discussion

The main finding was the emergence of a three-class GMM quadratic model as the best fitting model. 90.2% of the sample remained resilient throughout the follow up period. However, 9.8% of the sample had symptoms of PTSD; 4.1% had initially shown high PCL score but improved overtime, whilst 5.7% had the opposite, initially having low scores but deteriorating over the course of follow up. The deteriorating class had a high PCL score over approximately 10 years follow up and the improving category never fully reached the low scores of the resilient group in the three-class model. Adjusted multinomial logistic regression models showed some commonality between the improving and deteriorating trajectories, in comparison to the resilient trajectory, for childhood adversity and childhood antisocial behavior. Those in the youngest group at time 1 and those having a combat role

were more likely to belong to the deteriorating trajectory and those belonging to the improving class more likely to belong to other ranks.

4.1. The trajectories

Our study is consistent with other trajectory studies insofar as most of the sample (90.2%) was PTSD resilient for the full period of the study. (Andersen et al., 2014; Bonanno et al., 2012; Dickstein et al., 2010; Eekhout et al., 2016; Polusny et al., 2017) This demonstrates that most service personnel cope with military occupational exposures and this resilience persists after leaving the Armed Forces. In part this resilience might be explained by prior experience and training that have been shown to be associated with positive outcomes in populations at risk of traumatic exposure. (Dougall et al., 2000)

A minority (9.8%) experienced PCL scores compatible with PTSD, at some stage during follow up. The severity of PTSD varies over time, reaching levels compatible with partial PTSD. (Rona et al., 2009a; Stein et al., 1997) Some studies have shown patterns similar to our study reporting a three-class model (Eekhout et al., 2016; Polusny et al., 2017) and others have reported a four-class model similar to the trajectories of our four-class model. (Dickstein et al., 2010; Porter et al., 2017) Bonanno and colleagues showed a chronic PTSD trajectory over a shorter duration of follow-up in the Millennium Cohort study than Porter and colleagues. (Bonanno et al., 2012; Porter et al., 2017) The literature, with some exceptions, (Andersen et al., 2014; Dickstein et al., 2010) shows that those with a PCL score compatible with PTSD at some point in the evolution of their condition may ameliorate, but rarely recover to become asymptomatic. (Berntsen, D. et al., 2012; Eekhout et al., 2016; Porter et al., 2017) In addition, the deteriorating trajectory in our study may be compatible with the chronic evolution of PTSD in Bonanno and colleagues' study as the PCL scores were high for approximately 10 years. (Bonanno et al., 2012)

4.2. *Covariates associated with the trajectories*

It is difficult to compare our multinomial analysis with other trajectory studies, as the follow-up periods for most studies were shorter than ours, the sample sizes were small for statistical inference, and the fitted trajectories and the covariates studied differed in detail between studies, except for demographic and some military variables such as Service, rank and occupational role. Pre-service vulnerability factors (childhood adversity and antisocial behavior) were associated with both the improving and deteriorating trajectories in our study. It has been demonstrated in cross-sectional studies that these vulnerability factors play a role in the aetiology of PTSD. (Cabrera et al., 2007; Iversen et al., 2007) Our study shows that these vulnerability factors may influence the emergence of symptoms of PTSD, but it does not influence its prognosis. In other studies, childhood environment, family life variables and trauma in childhood were marginally associated with trajectories of symptoms of PTSD. (Berntsen, D. et al., 2012; Polusny et al., 2017) These findings suggest that trauma exposure is more likely to affect individuals already vulnerable following early stressful/emotional life experiences.

Another common factor in the improving and deteriorating classes was their strong association with alcohol misuse. This was also reported in the Millennium Cohort study. (Bonanno et al., 2012) This finding underscores the fact that alcohol misuse should be addressed in patients who seek help for PTSD symptoms.

An interesting finding in our study is that there was a tendency for those who left Service twelve years or more ago to be over-represented in the deteriorating and improving classes. The effect sizes of the associations were fairly large (ORs of 2.8 and 4.1). Our study demonstrates that those who have left Service might remain with symptoms of PTSD for a long period of time giving support to the findings from the Vietnam War in terms of

evolution, if not proportions. (Dohrenwend et al., 2006; Marmar et al., 2015) There are several explanations for our findings; those who experience symptoms compatible with PTSD may leave service earlier than others (Milliken et al., 2007) or that early traumatic experiences in the military career have more impact. We explored the association between period of the last reported deployment and PTSD adjusting for years since leaving Service. Our results were different for the deteriorating and the improving group. Last deployment prior to the start of the Iraq and Afghanistan conflicts and between times 2 and 3 was associated with PTSD in the deteriorating class. This is a salient finding as during the period between 2005 and 2009 the UK military's involvement in Iraq and Afghanistan may have overstretched the capability of UK forces. (Rona et al., 2007) It is possible that for this cohort, deployments to Kosovo, Bosnia and/or Northern Ireland had an impact on PTSD, a finding that has not previously been reported.

We found that having a combat role was associated with the deteriorating class only. This is consistent with the results of the Millennium Cohort study and a clinical study. (Bray et al., 2016; Donoho et al., 2017) Our findings are not concordant with the findings of an Israeli study that experienced conflicts in other settings. (Karstoft et al., 2013)

We found that a lower rank was more strongly associated with a recovering class than a deteriorating class. A possible explanation, besides fluctuation within a sample, is that commissioned officers, reluctant to admit symptoms while in service, are readier to acknowledge these after leaving the Armed Forces.

4.3. Strengths and limitations

This is the first study to examine the trajectories of PTSD in a large representative sample of the UK Armed Forces over a period of 14 years. The study had high response rates. There were also potential limitations to the study. This was a largely male sample and these results

should not be extrapolated to UK female personnel. Some of the subgroups were of small size and statistical inference was limited. Our data did not contain information about help-seeking behaviour for PTSD and about support from family and friends support; as such it is not possible to determine the effect of health care and social support on the PTSD trajectories. However, we know that the percentage of service personnel seeking help for PTSD symptoms was low during most of the period of this study. (Hines et al., 2014; Rona et al., 2017) We recommend caution in the interpretation of the results related to childhood adversity and childhood antisocial behaviour, as the information on these variables was obtained when the participants were already in the UK AF and might be subject to reporting bias. As in all observational studies there might be possible confounders for which we did not collect data. However, the range of independent variables in our study was wide. This study did not include Special Forces.

4.4. Implications

This cohort study shows that 90% of Armed Forces personnel are persistently resilient to symptoms of PTSD. However, approximately 10% will experience PTSD symptoms at some point over 14 years and a large percentage of those may experience persistent high level of symptoms for 10 years. The remainder will have fewer symptoms but will not be asymptomatic. Regardless of the pattern of symptoms, vulnerability factors such as childhood adversity and childhood antisocial behavior play an important role in the response to trauma exposures. Combat exposure is a factor that may worsen the prognosis of PTSD.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Ministry of Defence (MOD), in particular the Surgeon General's Department, Defence Statistics, the single Services, the Joint Personnel Administration, and the Service and Veterans Welfare Ministry of Defence.

Funding

This work was supported by several grants of the UK MOD, the baseline data was funded by the Defence Science and Technology Laboratory (Dstl) part of MOD. MJ, GT, NTF and RJR had or are receiving a salary paid for from a MOD grant.

Contributors: RJR, SW and MJ designed the original study; MJ, RJR, NTF and SW were responsible for the questionnaires and data collection; GT, LP, MJ, SN and RJR planned the analysis; LP, MJ and GT performed the analysis; LP and RJR wrote the final draft; SN provided statistical supervision; SW, NTF and RJR secured funding for the study, LP, RJR, SW, NTF, SN, MJ and GT, interpreted the data and revised the manuscript adding important quality content.

Declaration of interest: SW is affiliated to the National Institute for Health Research Health Protection Research Unit (NIHR HPRU) in Emergency Preparedness and Response at King's College London in partnership with Public Health England (PHE), in collaboration with the University of East Anglia and Newcastle University. He is also a trustee of the charity Combat Stress. NTF is trustee of a veteran's charity and member of a group advising NHS Digital on the release of patient data. The other authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Reference

- Andersen, S.B., Karstoft, K.I., Bertelsen, M., Madsen, T., 2014. Latent trajectories of trauma symptoms and resilience: the 3-year longitudinal prospective USPER study of Danish veterans deployed in Afghanistan. *J Clin Psychiatry* 75(9), 1001-1008.
- Armenta, R.F., Rush, T., LeardMann, C.A., Millegan, J., Cooper, A., Hoge, C.W., 2018. Factors associated with persistent posttraumatic stress disorder among U.S. military service members and veterans. *BMC Psychiatry* 18(1), 48.
- Asparouhov, T., Muthen, B., 2013. Auxiliary variables in mixture modeling: A 3-step approach using Mplus. *Mplus Web Notes* No. 15, version 6.
<http://www.statmodel.com/examples/webnotes/webnotes15.pdf>.
- Babor, T.F., Higgins-Biddle, J.C., Saunders, J.B., Monteiro, M.G., 2001. *Audit. The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test. Guidelines for Use in Primary Care. Second Edition.* Department of Mental Health and Substance Dependence, World Health Organisation, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Berntsen, D., Johannessen, K.B., Thomsen, Y.D., Bertelsen, M., Hoyle, R.H., Rubin, D.C., 2012. Peace and war: trajectories of posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms before, during, and after military deployment in Afghanistan. *Psychol Sci* 23(12), 1557-1565.
- Berntsen, D., Johannessen, K.B., Thomsen, Y.D., Bertelsen, M., Hoyle, R.H., Rubin, D.C., 2012. Peace and War: Trajectories of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Symptoms Before, During, and After Military Deployment in Afghanistan. *Psychological Science* 23(12), 1557-1565.
- Blanchard, E.B., Jones-Alexander, J., Buckley, T.C., Forneris, C.A., 1996. Psychometric properties of the PTSD Checklist (PCL). *Behav Res Ther* 34(8), 669-673.
- Bonanno, G.A., Mancini, A.D., Horton, J.L., Powell, T.M., Leardmann, C.A., Boyko, E.J., Wells, T.S., Hooper, T.I., Gackstetter, G.D., Smith, T.C., 2012. Trajectories of trauma symptoms and resilience in deployed US military service members: prospective cohort study. *Br J Psychiatry* 200, 317-323.
- Bray, R.M., Engel, C.C., Williams, J., Jaycox, L.H., Lane, M.E., Morgan, J.K., Unutzer, J., 2016. Posttraumatic Stress Disorder in U.S. Military Primary Care: Trajectories and Predictors of One-Year Prognosis. *J Trauma Stress* 29(4), 340-348.
- Cabrera, O.A., Hoge, C.W., Bliese, P.D., Castro, C.A., Messer, S.C., 2007. Childhood adversity and combat as predictors of depression and post-traumatic stress in deployed troops. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 33(2), 77-82.
- Dickstein, B.D., Suvak, M., Litz, B.T., Adler, A.B., 2010. Heterogeneity in the course of posttraumatic stress disorder: trajectories of symptomatology. *J Trauma Stress* 23(3), 331-339.
- Dohrenwend, B.P., Turner, J.B., Turse, N.A., Adams, B.G., Koenen, K.C., Marshall, R., 2006. The psychological risks of Vietnam for U.S. veterans: a revisit with new data and methods. *Science* 313(5789), 979-982.
- Donoho, C.J., Bonanno, G.A., Porter, B., Kearney, L., Powell, T.M., 2017. A Decade of War: Prospective Trajectories of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Symptoms Among Deployed US Military Personnel and the Influence of Combat Exposure. *Am J Epidemiol* 186(12), 1310-1318.
- Dougall, A.L., Herberman, H.B., Delahanty, D.L., Inslicht, S.S., Baum, A., 2000. Similarity of prior trauma exposure as a determinant of chronic stress responding to an airline disaster. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 68(2), 290-295.

- Eekhout, I., Reijnen, A., Vermetten, E., Geuze, E., 2016. Post-traumatic stress symptoms 5 years after military deployment to Afghanistan: an observational cohort study. *The Lancet Psychiatry* 3(1), 58-64.
- Fear, N.T., Iversen, A., Meltzer, H., Workman, L., Hull, L., Greenberg, N., Barker, C., Browne, T., Earnshaw, M., Horn, O., Jones, M., Murphy, D., Rona, R.J., Hotopf, M., Wessely, S., 2007. Patterns of drinking in the UK Armed Forces. *Addiction* 102(11), 1749-1759.
- Fear, N.T., Jones, M., Murphy, D., Hull, L., Iversen, A.C., Coker, B., Machell, L., Sundin, J., Woodhead, C., Jones, N., Greenberg, N., Landau, S., Dandeker, C., Rona, R.J., Hotopf, M., Wessely, S., 2010. What are the consequences of deployment to Iraq and Afghanistan on the mental health of the UK armed forces? A cohort study. *The Lancet* 375(9728), 1783-1797.
- Felitti, V.J., Anda, R.F., Nordenberg, D., Williamson, D.F., Spitz, A.M., Edwards, V., Koss, M.P., Marks, J.S., 1998. Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults. The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study. *Am J Prev Med* 14(4), 245-258.
- Goldberg, D.P., Gater, R., Sartorius, N., Ustun, T.B., Piccinelli, M., Gureje, O., Rutter, C., 1997. The validity of two versions of the GHQ in the WHO study of mental illness in general health care. *Psychol Med* 27(1), 191-197.
- Goodwin, L., Jones, M., Rona, R.J., Sundin, J., Wessely, S., Fear, N.T., 2012. Prevalence of delayed-onset posttraumatic stress disorder in military personnel: is there evidence for this disorder?: Results of a prospective UK cohort study. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 200(5), 429-437.
- Hines, L.A., Goodwin, L., Jones, M., Hull, L., Wessely, S., Fear, N.T., Rona, R.J., 2014. Factors affecting help seeking for mental health problems after deployment to Iraq and Afghanistan. *Psychiatr Serv* 65(1), 98-105.
- Hoge, C.W., Castro, C.A., Messer, S.C., McGurk, D., Cotting, D.I., Koffman, R.L., 2004. Combat duty in Iraq and Afghanistan, mental health problems, and barriers to care. *N Engl J Med* 351(1), 13-22.
- Hotopf, M., Hull, L., Fear, N.T., Browne, T., Horn, O., Iversen, A., Jones, M., Murphy, D., Bland, D., Earnshaw, M., Greenberg, N., Hacker Hughes, J., Tate, A.R., Dandeker, C., Rona, R., Wessely, S., 2006. The health of UK military personnel who deployed to the 2003 Iraq war: a cohort study. *The Lancet* 367(9524), 1731-1741.
- Iversen, A.C., Fear, N.T., Simonoff, E., Hull, L., Horn, O., Greenberg, N., Hotopf, M., Rona, R., Wessely, S., 2007. Influence of childhood adversity on health among male UK military personnel. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 191(6), 506-511.
- Jacobsen, B.K., Hasvold, T., Hoyer, G., Hansen, V., 1995. The General Health Questionnaire: how many items are really necessary in population surveys? *Psychological Medicine* 25, 957-961.
- Jung, T., Wickrama, K.A.S., 2008. An introduction to latent class growth analysis and growth mixture modeling. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass* 2(1), 302-317.
- Karstoft, K.I., Armour, C., Elklit, A., Solomon, Z., 2013. Long-term trajectories of posttraumatic stress disorder in veterans: the role of social resources. *J Clin Psychiatry* 74(12), e1163-1168.
- Macmanus, D., Dean, K., Al Bakir, M., Iversen, A.C., Hull, L., Fahy, T., Wessely, S., Fear, N.T., 2012. Violent behaviour in UK military personnel returning home after deployment. *Psychol Med* 42(8), 1663-1673.
- Marmar, C.R., Schlenger, W., Henn-Haase, C., Qian, M., Purchia, E., Li, M., Corry, N., Williams, C.S., Ho, C.L., Horesh, D., Karstoft, K.I., Shalev, A., Kulka, R.A., 2015. Course of

- Posttraumatic Stress Disorder 40 Years After the Vietnam War: Findings From the National Vietnam Veterans Longitudinal Study. *JAMA psychiatry*.
- Milliken, C.S., Auchterlonie, J.L., Hoge, C.W., 2007. Longitudinal assessment of mental health problems among active and reserve component soldiers returning from the Iraq war. *JAMA* 298(18), 2141-2148.
- Nagin, D., 2005. Group-based modelling of development. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Polusny, M.A., Erbes, C.R., Kramer, M.D., Thuras, P., DeGarmo, D., Koffel, E., Litz, B., Arbisi, P.A., 2017. Resilience and Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Symptoms in National Guard Soldiers Deployed to Iraq: A Prospective Study of Latent Class Trajectories and Their Predictors. *J Trauma Stress* 30(4), 351-361.
- Porter, B., Bonanno, G.A., Frasco, M.A., Dursa, E.K., Boyko, E.J., 2017. Prospective post-traumatic stress disorder symptom trajectories in active duty and separated military personnel. *J Psychiatr Res* 89, 55-64.
- Ramaswamy, V., DeSarbo, W., Reibstein, D., Robinson, W., 1993. An empirical pooling approach for estimating marketing mix elasticities with PIMS data. *Marketing Science* 12, 103-124.
- Rona, R.J., Burdett, H., Khondoker, M., Chesnokov, M., Green, K., Pernet, D., Jones, N., Greenberg, N., Wessely, S., Fear, N.T., 2017. Post-deployment screening for mental disorders and tailored advice about help-seeking in the UK military: a cluster randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 389(10077), 1410-1423.
- Rona, R.J., Fear, N.T., Hull, L., Greenberg, N., Earnshaw, M., Hotopf, M., Wessely, S., 2007. Mental health consequences of overstretch in the UK armed forces: first phase of a cohort study. *BMJ* 335(7620), 603.
- Rona, R.J., Hooper, R., Jones, M., French, C., Wessely, S., 2004. Screening for physical and psychological illness in the British Armed Forces: III: The value of a questionnaire to assist a Medical Officer to decide who needs help. *J Med Screen* 11(3), 158-161.
- Rona, R.J., Hooper, R., Jones, M., Iversen, A.C., Hull, L., Murphy, D., Hotopf, M., Wessely, S., 2009a. The contribution of prior psychological symptoms and combat exposure to post Iraq deployment mental health in the UK military. *Journal of Traumatic Stress* 22(1), 11-19.
- Rona, R.J., Jones, M., Fear, N.T., Hull, L., Hotopf, M., Wessely, S., 2010. Alcohol misuse and functional impairment in the UK Armed Forces: a population-based study. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 108(1-2), 37-42.
- Rona, R.J., Jones, M., Iversen, A., Hull, L., Greenberg, N., Fear, N.T., Hotopf, M., Wessely, S., 2009b. The impact of posttraumatic stress disorder on impairment in the UK military at the time of the Iraq war. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* 43(6), 649-655.
- Rubin, D.B., 2009. Multiple imputation for nonresponse in surveys. John Wiley & Son.
- Smith, T.C., Ryan, M.A., Wingard, D.L., Slymen, D.J., Sallis, J.F., Kritz-Silverstein, D., 2008. New onset and persistent symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder self reported after deployment and combat exposures: prospective population based US military cohort study. *BMJ* 336(7640), 366-371.
- Stein, M.B., Walker, J.R., Hazen, A.L., Forde, D.R., 1997. Full and partial posttraumatic stress disorder: findings from a community survey. *Am J Psychiatry* 154(8), 1114-1119.
- Ware, J.E., Snow, K.K., Kosinski, M., Gandek, B., 1993. SF-36 Health Survey: Manual and Interpretation Guide. The Health Institute, New England Medical Center, Boston, USA.
- Weathers, F.W., Litz, B.T., Herman, D.S., Huska, J.A., Keane, T.M., 1993. The PTSD Checklist (PCL): Reliability, Validity, and Diagnostic Utility. Paper presented at the

Annual Meeting of International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies, San Antonio, TX,
October, 1993.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Table 1. Social demographic, military and other characteristics of the sample at time 1 or time 2

Characteristic	N (%)
Sex (time 1)	
Male	1,742 (92.4%)
Female	143 (7.6%)
Age group (time 1)	
<25	270 (14.3%)
25-29	346 (18.4%)
30-34	527 (28.0%)
35-39	406 (21.5%)
40+	336 (17.8%)
Education (time 2)	
O level/GCSE or lower	699 (37.2%)
A level or higher	1,182 (62.8%)
Marital status (time 2)	
In a relationship	1,590 (84.4%)
Not in a relationship	294 (15.6%)
Rank (time 1)	
Officer	429 (22.8%)
Other rank	1,456 (77.2%)
Service (time 1)	
Naval Service	446 (23.7%)
Army	909 (48.2%)
Royal Air Force	530 (28.1%)
Role within parent unit (time 2)	
Combat	268 (14.4%)
Support	1,595 (85.6%)
Deployment status	
No deployment in the 3 years before time 1	438 (23.2%)
Last deployed in the 3 years before time 1	479 (25.4%)

Last deployed between time 1 and time 2	314 (16.7%)
Last deployed between time 2 and time 3	462 (24.5%)
Last deployed before time 4	192 (10.2%)
Time since leaving service (at 21/07/2015)	
Still serving	575 (30.5%)
up to 3 years	274 (14.5%)
3 to 6 years	291 (15.4%)
6 to 9 years	355 (18.8%)
9 to 12 years	343 (18.2%)
12 to 15 years	47 (2.5%)
Alcohol misuse (time 2)	
no	1,681 (89.6%)
yes	196 (10.4%)
Childhood adversity score (time 2)	
0	849 (45.9%)
1	342 (18.5%)
2 or more	657 (35.6%)
Childhood antisocial behaviour (time 2)	
No	1,642 (87.5%)
yes	235 (12.5%)

Table 2. Model fit indices for 1 to 6 class models based on latent class growth analysis (LCGA), LCGA with a quadratic term, and growth mixture models (GMM) with a quadratic term

		1 class	2 class	3 class	4 class	5 class	6 class
LCGA (all growth factors constrained)	AIC	37210.7	35641.0	35195.0	35032.7	34889.9	34803.5
	BIC	37244.0	35690.9	35261.5	35115.8	34989.6	34919.9
	SABIC	37224.9	35662.3	35223.4	35068.1	34932.4	34853.1
	Entropy	-	0.91	0.86	0.94	0.8-1	0.74
	LMR-	-	0.0000	0.0044	0.4715	0.22	0.1549
	LRT, <i>p</i> value						
LCGA quadratic (all growth factors constrained)	AIC	37199.6	35625.7	35178.3	34990.9	34809.6	34674.1
	BIC	37238.4	35686.7	35261.4	35096.2	34937.0	34823.8
	SABIC	37216.1	35651.8	35213.8	35035.9	34864.0	34738.0
	Entropy	-	0.91	0.86	0.87	0.81	0.79
	LMR-	-	0.0000	0.0193	0.3521	0.1710	0.0896
	LRT, <i>p</i> value						
GMM (intercept freed, slope and quadratic term constrained)	AIC	35376.2	34975.5	34661.4	34488.0	34391.3	34315.3
	BIC	35420.5	35042.0	34750.0	34598.9	34524.3	34470.5
	SABIC	35395.1	35003.9	34699.2	34598.9	34448.0	34381.5
	Entropy	-	0.94	0.94	0.93	0.94	0.92
	LMR-	-	0.0041	0.0073	0.0893	0.0317	0.3430
	LRT, <i>p</i> value						

AIC = Akaike Information Criterion; BIC = Bayesian Information Criterion; SABIC = Sample size adjusted BIC; LMR-LRT = Lo–Mendell–Rubins Likelihood Ratio Test

Table 3 Observed mean PCL-17 score, frequency of case positive status for GHQ-12 and for functional impairment at each phase by trajectory class

Trajectory class	Time 1	Time 2	Time 3	Time 4
(N)	N = 1885	N = 1885	N = 1446	N = 1023
Mean (SD) PCL score				
1 Resilient (1703)	21.4 (5.5)	21.3 (6.2)	21.2 (5.5)	22.2 (7.0)
2 Deteriorating (108)	31.8 (11.0)	43.2 (14.6)	51.1 (10.1)	47.6 (17.0)
3 Improving (77)	53.0 (10.4)	32.5 (13.7)	28.1 (10.0)	33.3 (13.7)
GHQ case positive N (%)				
1 Resilient (1703)	133 (15.7) ⁺	257 (15.2)	206 (15.9)	139 (15.3)
2 Deteriorating (108)	20 (38.5) ⁺	64 (59.3)	64 (76.2)	43 (70.5)
3 Improving (77)	32 (82.1) ⁺	36 (46.8)	17 (29.3)	19 (50)
Impaired social functioning N (%)				
1 Resilient (1703)	54 (6.4) ⁺	118 (7.0)	80 (6.6)	70 (7.7)
2 Deteriorating (108)	14 (26.9) ⁺	42 (39.3)	34 (42.5)	31 (50.0)
3 Improving (77)	22 (56.4) ⁺	21 (28.0)	7 (13.2)	9 (23.7)

+ At time 1 only those who received the full questionnaire (N=938) completed the GHQ-12 and the functional impairment items. Of those who completed the GHQ-4 in the shortened questionnaire (N=947), 130(15.2%) were in the Resilient trajectory class, 20 (35.7%) were in the Deteriorating class and 31 (81.6) in the Improving class.

Table 4. Associations between social demographic and military characteristics, childhood factors, deployment history and health with PTSD trajectories (N = 1885)

Male	1575 (92.6)	98 (90.7)	1.00	69 (89.6)	1.00
Female	125 (7.4)	10 (9.3)	0.88 (0.53-1.48)	8 (10.4)	1.24 (0.71-2.15)
Age group					
<25	229 (13.5)	30 (27.8)	1.00	11 (14.3)	1.00
25-29	310 (18.2)	15 (13.9)	0.38 (0.23-0.64)	21 (27.3)	1.56 (0.90-2.71)
30-34	484 (28.5)	27 (25.0)	0.43 (0.28-0.66)	16 (20.8)	0.73 (0.40-1.35)
35-39	367 (21.6)	24 (22.2)	0.51 (0.32-0.80)	15 (19.5)	0.94 (0.51-1.75)
40+	310 (18.2)	12 (11.1)	0.34 (0.20-0.59)	14 (18.2)	1.38 (0.75-2.56)
Education					
0 level/GCSE or lower	619 (36.5)	50 (46.3)	1.00	30 (39.0)	1.00
A level or higher	1077 (63.5)	58 (53.7)	0.75 (0.46-1.23)	47 (61.0)	1.08 (0.76-1.55)
Marital status					
In a relationship	1443 (84.9)	86 (79.6)	1.00	61 (79.2)	1.00
Not in a relationship	256 (15.1)	22 (20.4)	1.19 (0.73-1.92)	16 (20.8)	1.29 (0.81-2.04)
Rank					
Officer	408 (24.0)	14 (13.0)	1.00	7 (9.1)	1.00
Other rank	1292 (76.0)	94 (87.0)	1.60 (1.16-2.21)	70 (90.9)	3.09 (2.06-4.62)
Service					
Naval Services	412 (24.2)	19 (17.6)	0.51 (0.28-0.96)	15 (19.5)	0.71 (0.43-1.19)
Army	793 (46.7)	71 (65.7)	1.00	45 (48.4)	1.00
Royal Air Force	495 (29.1)	18 (16.7)	0.30 (0.14-0.65)	17 (22.1)	0.59 (0.36-0.96)
Role within parent unit					
Combat	229 (13.7)	29 (26.9)	1.00	10 (13.0)	1.00
Support	1,449 (86.4)	79 (73.2)	0.32 (0.19-0.54)	67 (87.0)	0.90 (0.52-1.57)
Deployment status**					
No deployment in the 3 years	401 (23.6)	19 (17.6)	1.00	18 (23.4)	1.00

before time 1

Last deployed in the 3 years before time 1	409 (24.1)	39 (36.1)	1.99 (1.11- 3.60)	31 (40.3)	1.64 (0.92- 2.92)
Last deployed before time 2	293 (17.2)	10 (9.3)	0.57 (0.24- 1.39)	11 (14.3)	0.76 (0.34- 1.70)
Last deployed between time 2 and time 3	416 (24.5)	32 (29.6)	2.19 (1.16- 4.11)	14 (18.2)	0.77 (0.32- 1.84)
Last deployed before time 4	181 (10.7)	8 (7.4)	1.45 (0.57- 3.71)	3 (3.9)	0.38 (0.04- 2.85)

**Time since
leaving
service**

Still serving	527 (31.0)	29 (26.9)	1.00	19 (24.7)	1.00
up to 3 years	252 (14.8)	12 (11.1)	0.94 (0.41- 2.13)	10 (13.0)	1.10 (0.47- 2.60)
3 to 6 years	273 (16.1)	13 (12.0)	1.01 (0.46- 2.22)	5 (6.5)	0.30 (0.10- 0.87)
6 to 9 years	324 (19.1)	13 (12.0)	0.82 (0.37- 1.82)	18 (23.4)	1.72 (0.78- 3.80)
9 to 12 years	288 (16.9)	36 (33.3)	3.81 (2.06- 7.05)	19 (24.7)	2.10 (0.99- 5.15)
12 to 15 years	36 (2.1)	5 (4.6)	3.88 (1.35- 11.17)	6 (7.8)	5.46 (1.99- 15.03)

**Alcohol
misuse (time
2)**

no	1547 (91.3)	77 (72.0)	1.00	57 (75.0)	1.00
yes	147 (8.7)	30 (28.0)	3.48 (2.36- 5.13)	19 (25.0)	3.32 (2.12- 5.20)

**Childhood
adversity
score**

0	798 (47.8)	33 (31.4)	1.00	18 (24.3)	1.00
1	317 (19.0)	15 (14.3)	1.00 (0.47- 2.12)	10 (13.5)	1.17 (0.62- 2.49)
2 or more	554 (33.2)	57 (54.3)	3.94 (2.30- 6.73)	46 (62.2)	3.27 (2.10- 5.08)

**Childhood
antisocial
behaviour**

No	1511 (89.3)	79 (73.2)	1.00	52 (67.5)	1.00
Yes	181 (10.7)	29 (26.9)	2.78 (1.86- 4.15)	25 (32.5)	3.72 (2.40- 5.76)

*Adjusted for sex, age and rank at baseline

**Adjusted additionally for time since leaving service

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT



Figure 1. Flow-diagram of participant recruitment and response

Figure 2 The three -class trajectories based on a growth mixture modeling (GMM) of PCL data assessed four times over the period of approximately 14 years



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Declaration of interest: SW is affiliated to the National Institute for Health Research Health Protection Research Unit (NIHR HPRU) in Emergency Preparedness and Response at King's College London in partnership with Public Health England (PHE), in collaboration with the University of East Anglia and Newcastle University. He is also a trustee of the charity Combat Stress. NTF is trustee of a veteran's charity and member of a group advising NHS Digital on the release of patient data. The other authors have no conflict of interest to declare.